

United States Patent and Trademark Office



UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.usplo.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/574,521	04/03/2006	Bernard Longuet	L7307.06103	7023
24257 7590 01/10/2008 STEVENS DAVIS MILLER & MOSHER, LLP 1615 L STREET, NW			EXAMINER	
			BITAR, NANCY	
SUITE 850 WASHINGTON, DC 20036		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
	,		2624	
		•		
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE
		•	01/10/2008	PAPER

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

Office Action Summary		Application No.	Applicant(s)			
		10/574,521	LONGUET ET AL.			
		Examiner	Art Unit			
		Nancy Bitar	2624			
Period for	The MAILING DATE of this communication apportant The MAILING DATE of this communication apportant.	ears on the cover sheet with the c	orrespondence address			
WHICH - Extens after S - If NO p - Failure Any re	PRTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY HEVER IS LONGER; FROM THE MAILING DATE of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 IX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. Period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period we to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, ply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	ATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION 6(a). In no event, however, may a reply be tim rill apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from cause the application to become ABANDONE!	N. nely filed the mailing date of this communication. D (35 U.S.C. § 133).			
Status						
1)⊠ F	Responsive to communication(s) filed on <u>03 April 2006</u> .					
•	This action is FINAL . 2b)⊠ This action is non-final.					
· ·	Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is					
closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.						
Dispositio	n of Claims					
5)□ 0 6)⊠ 0 7)□ 0	Claim(s) <u>1-7</u> is/are pending in the application. a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdraw Claim(s) is/are allowed. Claim(s) <u>1-7</u> is/are rejected. Claim(s) is/are objected to. Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or					
Applicatio	n Papers					
10)⊠ T , , F	the specification is objected to by the Examiner the drawing(s) filed on <u>03 April 2006</u> is/are: a)[Applicant may not request that any objection to the objection to the objection to the objectment drawing sheet(s) including the correction of the oath or declaration is objected to by the Example 1.	☐ accepted or b) ☐ objected to I drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See on is required if the drawing(s) is obj	e 37 CFR 1.85(a). ected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).			
Priority ur	nder 35 U.S.C. § 119					
a)⊠ 1 2 3	cknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign and the control of the priority documents of the priority documents of the priority documents of the certified copies of the priority documents of the certified copies of the priorical copies of the pr	s have been received. s have been received in Application ity documents have been received (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	on No ed in this National Stage			
Attachment(s	s) of References Cited (PTO-892)	4) 🔲 Interview Summary				
2) Notice 3) Informa	of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) ation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08) No(s)/Mail Date 4/03/06.	Paper No(s)/Mail Da 5) Notice of Informal P 6) Other:	ite			

Application/Control Number:

10/574,521 Art Unit: 2624

DETAILED ACTION

- 1. The drawings are objected to because figure 2 does not comply with 37 CFR
 1.84(o) where suitable descriptive legends may be used subject to approval by Office,
 or may be required by the examiner where necessary for understanding of the drawing.
 They should contain as few words as possible
- 2. Corrected drawing sheets in compliance with 37 CFR 1.121(d) are required in reply to the Office action to avoid abandonment of the application. Any amended replacement drawing sheet should include all of the figures appearing on the immediate prior version of the sheet, even if only one figure is being amended. The figure or figure number of an amended drawing should not be labeled as "amended." If a drawing figure is to be canceled, the appropriate figure must be removed from the replacement sheet, and where necessary, the remaining figures must be renumbered and appropriate changes made to the brief description of the several views of the drawings for consistency. Additional replacement sheets may be necessary to show the renumbering of the remaining figures. Each drawing sheet submitted after the filing date of an application must be labeled in the top margin as either "Replacement Sheet" or "New Sheet" pursuant to 37 CFR 1.121(d). If the changes are not accepted by the examiner, the applicant will be notified and informed of any required corrective action in the next Office action. The objection to the drawings will not be held in abeyance.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112

3. The following is a quotation of the second paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:

.The specification shall conclude with one or more claims particularly pointing out and distinctly claiming the subject matter which the applicant regards as his invention.

Art Unit: 2624

4. Claims 1-7 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which applicant regards as the invention. The claims are generally narrative and indefinite, failing to conform with current U.S. practice. They appear to be a literal translation into English from a foreign document and are replete with grammatical and idiomatic errors.Regarding claim 1, the phrase "in such a way" renders the claim(s) indefinite because the claim(s) include(s) elements not actually disclosed thereby rendering the scope of the claim(s) unascertainable. See MPEP § 2173.05(d).Regarding claim 2, the phrase "making it possible" renders the claim indefinite because it is unclear whether the limitations following the phrase are part of the claimed invention. See MPEP § 2173.05(d). Claims 3-7 depend on claim 2 and are also indefinite. Appropriate correction is required.

Allowable Subject Matter

Claims 1-7 would be allowable if rewritten or amended to overcome the rejection(s) under 35 U.S.C. 112, 2nd paragraph, set forth in this Office action.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Nancy Bitar whose telephone number is 571-270-1041. The examiner can normally be reached on Mon-Fri (7:30a.m. to 5:00pm).

Application/Control Number:

10/574,521

Art Unit: 2624

Page 4

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Bhavesh Mehta can be reached on 571-272-7453. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

Nancy Bitar

1/7/2008

SAMIR AHMED SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER